# MARCUS ROSENBERG & DIAMOND LLP

488 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022

Telephone (212) 755-7500 Telefax (212) 755-8713

March 25, 2008

Meenakshi Srinivasan, Chair New York City Board of Standards and Appeals 40 Rector Street New York, New York 10006

Re:

Application 74-07-BZ; Congregation Shearith Israel ("CSI"), 6-10 West 70th Street/99 Central Park West, Block 1122, Lots 36, 37 (Manhattan) Our File No. 89628.003

Dear Chairperson Srinivasan:

This firm previously entered its appearance in connection with the above application.

For the reasons hereafter stated, as well as those previously set forth, it is respectfully submitted that the Board of Standards and Appeals lacks jurisdiction over this application and must dismiss it.

DOB's Objections Were Not Issued By DOB's Commissioner or DOB's Manhattan Borough Commissioner

As I am sure that the Board and its counsel are aware, BSA's jurisdiction to hear an application, such as this one, based upon a DOB refusal to approve plans is set forth in Chapter 27, Section 666, of the New York City Charter (also adopted as Sections 1-01(a)(6) and 1-07(a) of BSA's Rules of Practice and Procedure), which states, in relevant part:

§ 666 Jurisdiction

The board shall have power:

\* \* \*

- 6. To hear and decide appeals from and review,
- (a) except as otherwise provided by law, any order, requirement, decision or determination of the commissioner of buildings or any borough superintendent of buildings acting under written delegation of power from the commissioner of buildings filed in accordance with the provisions of subdivision (b)\* of section six hundred forty-five. . . .
- \* Should be subdivision (c)

CSI's original April 2, 2007 BSA application and its subsequent September 9, 2007 amended application are from DOB Objections dated March 27, 2007, and August 28, 2007, respectively.

At the time of the issuance of each of the DOB Objections (and today):

- DOB's Commissioner was Patricia J. Lancaster; and
- DOB's Manhattan Borough Commissioner was Christopher Santulli.

The signature on the "Denied" stamp on the line above "Boro Commissioner" on both DOB Objections is difficult to decipher, but it did not appear to be that of Commissioner Lancaster or Commissioner Santulli.

To resolve this issue, I served a Freedom of Information Law request on DOB for the identity and authority of the person who signed the DOB Objections.

The response issued by DOB is Exhibit A. It demonstrates that Mr. Kenneth Fladen was appointed, in 2004, as a "provisional Administrative Borough Superintendent", a Civil Service title, not an appointment as the sole Manhattan Borough Commissioner. Nor did DOB produce a "written delegation of power from the commissioner" to Mr. Fladen, as is required by Chapter 27, Section 666 of the Charter and the parallel BSA Rules.

Meenakshi Srinivasan, Chair Page 3 March 25, 2008

Since Mr. Fladen is not the Borough Commissioner and has not been delegated the power of the Commissioner of Buildings, his signature on the DOB Objections was insufficient to give this Board jurisdiction over this matter.

Moreover, there is reason to question the veracity of the DOB Objections. No copy of the Objections is in DOB's files. However, DOB's computerized records [Exhibit B] evidence that CSI's submission was examined on November 10, 2005, by "SSA SHAUQAT-SHAIKH", not Mr. Fladen. Thus, the DOB Objections, "Denied for Appeal to Board of Standards and Appeals" two years later appear to be part of an after-the-fact reconstruction. In any event, there is no evidence that CSI timely and properly made its initial BSA application, which should be dismissed.

The Plans Which Were The Basis Of CSI's Original Application Are Not The Ones For Which DOB Issued The Objections

In my prior correspondence, and in my testimony before the Board on February 23, 2008, I stated that the plans presented to the Board by CSI did not appear to be the same ones presented to DOB, and that CSI's refusal to grant consent had prevented our inspection of the plans at DOB.

At the hearing, the following colloquy took place:

MR. ROSENBERG: There's been no explanation required as to the difference between the original plans which formed the basis for the application to this Board and the subsequent plans which they claim were provided to DOB.

VICE-CHAIR COLLINS: I don't understand the relevance of that.

The Buildings Department has given an objection sheet. They told us where these filed plans don't meet the zoning. That's what we're here to rule on.

MR. ROSENBERG: They're not filed plans.

VICE-CHAIR COLLINS: Now, do you think that there should be further objections based on the plans that you have access to?

MR. ROSENBERG: As far -- this Board should ask for the answers to its 8th objection that it raised.

VICE-CHAIR COLLINS: But that objection is not before us anymore because revised plans were filed and a new objection sheet was filed. It's a common practice. We see it all the time. I think you're seeing demons where none exist.

MR. ROSENBERG: No, we haven't been told what the difference is between the revised plans and the original plans, if there is any.

VICE-CHAIR COLLINS: All of our files are completely open. You can make an appointment to come and see them. It's my understanding that they've been made available to you from the beginning. I think it is a bogus issue you're raising.

I don't think there's any legal basis for it.

MR. ROSENBERG: Well, with all due respect, what is the difference between the original plans and the revised plans?

CHAIR SRINIVASAN: It doesn't matter. We have a set of objections which is what we're reviewing.

In fact, as later admitted by CSI's attorney, Shelly Friedman, I was not wrong, nor was I "seeing demons" as claimed by Vice Chair Collins:

MR. FRIEDMAN: With regard to the issues raised by counsel to the building regarding the objection sheet, I'm prepared to give you an explanation, if you wish now, of what that situation is all about. It's really up to the Board.

Meenakshi Srinivasan, Chair Page 5 March 25, 2008

CHAIR SRINIVASAN: Why don't you just tell us what the situation is.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Fine. I would be happy to do so.

CHAIR SRINIVASAN: It seems like you can put it to rest after that.

MR. FRIEDMAN: The original objection sheet that was obtained at the request of the counsel at the Landmarks Commission when this matter was before the Landmarks Commission, which is kind of unusual, because you're in gross schematics at that stage. You haven't really submitted anything to the Buildings Department but the Landmarks Commission wants to know what the Building Department feels are the zoning waivers requested. We submitted that.

Originally, the building, the tower had a slot between the residential building and the synagogue. There was a physical space there that several of the Landmark's Commissioners wanted us to explore. They thought some separation between the two were important.

That gave rise to an objection regarding the separation of buildings.

Now, that zoning -- that envelope did not emerge from Landmarks, although, by that time, nobody was thinking about the objection sheet that had been asked about in 2003.

So, when we got to the Building's Department and it was submitted for zoning review, we recognized that the zoning objection sheet was in error because the building no longer contained the separation issue between the buildings because the two buildings were -- now the new and the old were now joined. That was amended.

Meenakshi Srinivasan, Chair Page 6 March 25, 2008

In other words, until the February 12, 2008 hearing, CSI had represented that the plans which:

- CSI filed to commence this application; and
- CSI represented under penalty of perjury to be the plans which resulted in the original DOB Objections from which this Board's jurisdiction was sought

were <u>not</u> the plans filed at DOB or the ones resulting in the DOB Objections. Rather, the DOB Objections were issued on gross schematics of a different structure in 2003.

The representation which was the basis of the original application to this Board was a lie. More importantly, it deprived this Board of jurisdiction.

Respectfully submitted,

David Rosenberg

DR/pab Enclosures

Cc: Christopher Collins, Vice-Chair, New York City
Board of Standards and Appeals
Mr. Jeff Mulligan, Executive Director, New York City
Board of Standards of Appeals
Margaret P. Stix, Esq., General Counsel,
New York City Board of Standards of Appeals
Mr. John Woods, Inspector General, New York City
Board of Standards of Appeals
Mona Sehgal, Esq., General Counsel, New York City
Department of Buildings
Hon. Betsy Gotbaum, Public Advocate of the
City of New York, Attn: Ralph Perfetto
Hon. Richard Gottfried, State Assembly Member

Meenakshi Srinivasan, Chair Page 7 March 25, 2008

Hon. Scott Stringer, Manhattan Borough President Hon. Gale A. Brewer, City Council Member Hon. Helen Rosenthal, Chair, Manhattan Community Board 7
Shelly S. Friedman, Esq.
Landmark West!
Mark Lebow, Esq.
Norman Marcus, Esq.
Alan Sugarman, Esq.
(copies via first class mail w/enclosures)

Ехнівіт А

### MARCUS ROSENBERG & DIAMOND LLP

488 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022

Telephone (212) 755-7500 Telefax (212) 755-8713

February 13, 2008

Via Facsimile Transmission (212-566-3843) and First Class Mail

Ms. Angela Orridge Records Access Officer New York City Department of Buildings 280 Broadway, 7th Floor New York, New York 10007

> Re: Application No. 104250491-NB 10 West 70th Street, Block 1122, Lot 37; Our File No. 89628.003

Dear Ms. Orridge:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Law ("FOIL"), Article 6 of the Public Officers Law, this is to request copies of, or an appointment to inspect and photocopy the originals of, the following:

Documents identifying the name and title of the person whose signature appears as "Examiner" and "Boro Commissioner" on the attached two documents.

Please call if you have any questions. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation.

Very truly yours,

David Rosenber

DR/pab Enclosures

cc: Landmark West!



Department of Buildings 280 Broadway New York, New York 10007 (212) 566-5000 | TTY (212) 566-4769 nyc.gov/buildings

MANHATTAN (1) 280 BROADWAY 3<sup>RD</sup> FLOOR New York, NY 10007 BRONX (2) 1932 ARTHUR AVENUE BRONX, NY 10457 BROOKLYN (3) 210 JORALEMON STREET BROOKLYN, NY 11201

QUEENS (4) 120-55 QUEENS BLVD. QUEENS, NY 11424 STATEN ISLAND (5) BORO HALL- ST. GEORGE STATEN ISLAND, NY 10301

**Notice of Objections** 

Applicant: Samuel White

Platt Byard Dovell White Architects

20 West 22<sup>nd</sup> Street New York, NY 10010 Date: 8/24/2007

Job Application #: 104250481

Application type: NB

Premises Address: 10 West 70th Street

Zoning District: R8B, R10A

Block: 1122 Lot: 37 Doc(s): 01

NYC Department of Buildings Examiner:

Examiner's Signature:

To discuss and resolve these objections, please call 311/to schedule an appointment with the Plan Examiner listed above. You will need the application number and document number found at the top of this objection sheet. To make the best possible use of the plan examiner's and your time, please make sure you are prepared to discuss and resolve these objections before arriving for your scheduled plan examination appointment.

Obj. #	Doc #	Section of Code	· Objections	Date Resolved	Comments
I.		24-11/77- 24	Proposed lot coverage for the interior portions of R8B & R10A exceeds the maximum allowed. This is contrary to section 24-11/77-24. Proposed interior portion lot coverage is .80.		
		<u> </u>		-	
2.		24-36	Proposed rear yard in R8B does not comply. 20.00' provided instead of 30.00' contrary to section 24-36.		
3.		24-36	Proposed rear yard in R10A interior portion does not comply. 20.00' provided instead of 30.00' contrary to section 24-36.		
4.		23-633	Proposed initial setback in R8B does not comply. 12.00' provided instead of 15.00' contrary to section 23-633.	_	
5.		23-633	Proposed base height in R8B does not comply. 94.80' provided instead of 60.00' contrary to section 23-633.	_	
6.		23-633	Proposed maximum building height in R8B does not comply. 113.70' provided instead of 75.00' contrary to section 23-633.		
7.		23-663	Proposed rear setback in R8B does not comply. 6.67' provided instead of 10.00' contrary to section 23-663.	_	
8.			Exercise 11.000 Connect to Section 15.005.		
	<u> </u>		D E N I E D		<u> </u>
9.	<del>                                     </del>		FOR APPEAL TO BOARD OF		<del></del> _
10.	<u> </u>		1 2 8 2007		<u> </u>
П.			Jh 11.17		<del></del>
12.					
13.			BURY COMMISSIONER		
14.	-				

PER-14 (6/05)



# THE CITY OF NEW YORK . DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

http://www.nyc.gov/buildings

MANHATTAN (1) BRONX (2) BROOKLYN (3)
263 BROADWAY 3<sup>A6</sup> FLOOR 1932 ARTHUR AVENUE 210 JORELOMON STREET

BRONX NY 10457

BROOKLYN, NY 11201

OUEENS (4) 120-55 QUEENS BLVD. QUEENS, NY 11424

STATEN ISLAND (S) BORD HALL- ST. GEORGE STATEN ISLAND, NY 10201

DOB Application #	QUEENS NY 11424 STATEN	ISLAND, NY 10301
· Application #	Examiner	<u></u>
104250481	Application Type: XXXX NB	Date: 10/28/05
	Address / Location	Doc (s):
	Zoning District: R8B; R10A	Block: 1122
Examiners Signature:	A TOO KINA	Lot: 37

To discuss and resolve these objections, please call 311 to schedule an appointment with the Plan Examiner listed above. You will need the application number and document number found at the log of this objection sheet. To make the best possible use of the plan examiner's and your time, please make sure you are

				•	and the second s					,	
	Obj.	Doc	Section		<del></del>	<u>·</u>		<del></del>	<del></del>		
	#	#	) or		•			<del></del> -		) <del></del>	_
- [			Zoning/		C	bjections	•	i	Date	Comments	1
	~ <del>_</del>		Codé			•	•	. "	Resolved	ĺ	l
1	. 1	.1				<del></del>					
RE	<u>QUI</u> RED	ACTIO	NS RY THE	- BUARD OF	STANDADOG	•			<del>-</del>	<del></del>	ĺ

# REQUIRED ACTIONS BY THE BOARD OF STANDARDS & APPEALS

- PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE FOR THE INTERIOR PORTIONS OF RBB & R10A EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED. THIS IS CONTRARY TO SECTION 24-11/77-24. PROPOSED INTERIOR PORTION
- PROPOSED REAR YARD IN R88 DOES NOT COMPLY. 20.00' PROVIDED INSTEAD OF 30.00' CONTRARY TO SECTION 24-36.
- 3. PROPOSED REAR YARD IN R10A INTERIOR PORTION DOES NOT COMPLY. INSTEAD OF 30.00' CONTRARY TO SECTION 24-36. 20.00' PROVIDED
- PROPOSED INITIAL SETBACK IN R8B DOES NOT COMPLY. 12.00' PROVIDED INSTEAD OF 15.00' CONTRARY TO SECTION 23-633.
- 5. PROPOSED BASE HEIGHT IN R8B DOES NOT COMPLY. 94.80' PROVIDED INSTEAD OF 60.00' CONTRARY TO SECTION 23-633.
- PROPOSED MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT IN R8B DOES NOT COMPLY. 113.70' PROVIDED INSTEAD OF 75.00' CONTRARY TO SECTION 23-633.
- PROPOSED REAR SÉTBACK IN RBB DOES NOT COMPLY. 6.67' PROVIDED INSTEAD OF 10.00' CONTRARY TO SECTION 23-663.
- PROPOSED SEPARATION BETWEEN BUILDINGS IN RIDA DOES NOT COMPLY. INSTEAD OF 40.00' CONTRARY TO SECTION 24-67 AND 23-711. 0.00' PROVIDED

DENIED FOR APPEAL TO BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

SUBORO SSIONER .

Go To www.protectwest70.org



NYC Department of Buildings 280 Broadway, New York, NY 10007

Patricia J. Lancaster, FAIA, Commissioner

Ida Bohmstein, Director Human Resources 212.566.4104 212.566.3096 fax IdaB@buildings.nyc.gov

July 12, 2004

Mr. Kenneth Fladen

Dear Mr. Fladen:

I am pleased to confirm your appointment as a provisional Administrative Borough Superintendent/Level M-II effective June 21, 2004.

In accordance with Section 5.1 of the Leave Regulations for Career and Salary Plan Employees, you have been placed on a leave of absence from your permanent title of Architect/Level I.

Your appointment will remain in the Manhattan Borough Office. All appointments are citywide assignments made in accordance with departmental needs.

May I take this opportunity to wish you continued success in your appointment.

Very truly yours,

Ida Robinstein

Ехнівіт В





CLICK HERE TO SIGN UP FOR BUILDINGS NEWS

## NYC Department of Buildings **Application Details**

Premises: 6 WEST 70 STREET MANHATTAN

BIN: 1028510 Block: 1122 Lot: 37

Job No: 104250481 Document: 01 OF 1

Fees Paid

**Document Overview** 

**Items Required** Forms Received Plan Examination Virtual Job Folder

**All Permits All Comments**  Schedule A C/O Summary Schedule B

Plumbing Inspections

Job Type: NB - NEW BUILDING

C/O Preview

Last Action: PLAN EXAM - DISAPPROVED 11/10/2005 (J)

Pre-Filed: 10/03/2005

**Building Type: Other** 

Estimated Total Cost: \$0.00

Date Filed: 10/07/2005

Fee Structure: STANDARD

Filing Method: PAPER

Job Description Comments

1 Location Information (Filed At)

House No(s): 10

Street Name: WEST 70 STREET

Borough: Manhattan

Block: 1122

1、14、2019年1月1日

Lot: 37 BIN: 1028510\*

**CB No: 107** 

Work on Floor(s): SUC, CEL, BUL, ROF 001 thru 010

Apt/Condo No(s):

2 Applicant Information

Name: SAMULE WHITE

Business Name: PLATT BYARD DOVELL WHITE ARCHITE

Business Address: 20 WEST 22NDST NY NY 10010

E-Mail:

Business Phone: 212-691-2440

**Business Fax:** Mobile Telephone:

License Number: 014775

Applicant Type: ☐ P.E. XIR.A ☐ Sign Hanger ☐ Other

Directive 14 Applicant of Record

Not Applicable

Previous Applicant

Not Applicable

3 Filing Representative

Name: PHIL/SUSAN/YVET JACKIER/KIM/MCPERSDO

**Business Name: JEROME GUILMAN CONSULTANTS** Business Address: 40 WORTH ST NY NY 10013

E-Mail:

Business Phone: 212-349-9304

**Business Fax:** Mobile Telephone:

**Registration Number:** 

4 Filing Status

Click Here to View

		Part Cha Roo Occ Egre					Alteration Alteration Sign	ruction Floo Type 2 Type 3	☐ Demo	olition Iivisio Iivisio	n on: Imp on: Cor	roved ndo			
7	□ Bi □ FF □ SF 図 O1 Plan	Typ L - Bo P - Fil P - Sp T - G Subr	re Suppressio prinkler C mitted	) n [ [ [X] AF	☐ FA - Fire A ☐ MH - Mech Ⅺ EQ - Cons	Alarm nanical truction		☐ FE☐ PL☐ CC	3 - Fuel Bi Plumbi > - Curb C	urning ing Cut Foun	g 🔲	FS - I   SD - !	Stand	Storage dpipe Mechanical Zoning	
	Addit		Information			1.75		Service (Inc.) Service (Inc.)					ŝï		
	Yes	No	Filed to Com Other, Specif Restrictive De Zoning Exhib Landmark Legalization ( "Little E" Haz Unmapped St Adult Establis Compensated Low Income I Single Room Old Code Rev Structural Sta dar No.(s): dar No.(s):	ply wity: eclara it Rec 1/1/89 mat S treet shmen I Deve Housir Occup	th Local Law tion / Easeme ord Info  +) ite it ilopment (Inc og (Inclusions pancy (SRO)	ent / Me :lusiona ary Hou Multiple	rger ry Housing) sing) Dwelling		CRF	N No. N No. Imark	: Docke Include Infill 2 Loft B Qualit	et Num ded In Zoning	LMC		
	ECC Not P		S Compliance led	(App	licant Staten	nent)						e in an agen	10.5		
11.	<b>Job</b> I Not A	<b>Desc</b> pplic	ription able								Birth (b)		11.0		
;	Distri Overl Specl Map I	ct(s) ay(s) ial Di No.:	istrict(s):	RAL F	RESIDENCE [	DISTRIC	T R10A -	GENERAL I		CE D		т		Private	
13	Build	ling (	Characteristic	,		i tanits 2000 kg		o objectivacji se Saviše saviše se		en de la compania de La compania de la co					

Occupancy Classification: Construction Classification: Multiple Dwelling Classification: Building Height (ft.): Building Stories: Dwelling Units:	PROPOSED J2: RESID 1-C HAEA 117 10 6	ENTIAL	
Zoning Floor Area (sq.ft.):	-		
14 Fill ☐ Not Applicable ☐ Off-Site	On-Site	under 300 cu. yd	
15 Construction Equipment  ☐ Chute  ☑ Fence ☐ Supported Scaffold	☐ Sidewalk She Size: linear ft. ☐ Other	d Construction Material BSA/MEA Approval N	
16 Curb Cut Description  Not Applicable			
17 Tax Lot Characteristics Original tax lots being merged or re Tentative tax lot numbers (new tax		e):	
18 Fire Protection Equipment Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed
Yes No Fire Alarm □ □ Fire Suppression □ □	Yes No	Yes No Sprinkler □ □ Standpipe □ □	Yes No
19 Open Spaces  Not Provided			
20 Site Characteristics  Yes No	Yes nds ဩ	No ☐ Fire District ☐ Flood Hazard Area	
21 Demolition  Not Applicable			
22 Signs Not Applicable			
23 Comments			
24 Applicant's Statements and Signatu			
25 Owner's Information Name: DR.ALAN M			
Relationship to Owner: EXECUTIVE Business Name: CONGREGA Business Address: 8 WEST 70T E-Mail: Non Profit:  Yes X	TION SHEARITH ISRAEL	Business Phone: 21 Y 10023 Business Fax: Owner Type: PA	

		Owner's Owner D	Statement for Energy Conservation Certification Regarding Occupied PHCR Notification Certification for Adult Establishm	Housing
Distan			n the SOUTH side of WEST 707	
				ection of WEST 70TH STREET and CENTRAL PARK WEST
R	unning	Thence:	W 172 Ft.	Thence: S 100 . 42 Ft.
R	unning	Thence:	E 172 Ft.	Thence: N 100 . 42 Ft.
R	unning	Thence:	0 Ft.	Thence: 0 Ft.
_	•	Thence:	a =:	Thence: 0 Ft.

**Application Details** 

If you have any questions please review these <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, the <u>Glossary</u>, or call the 311 Citizen Service Center by dialing 311 or (212) NEW YORK outside of New York City.

3/15/08 9:57 PM

Job Overview Page 1 of 1



Premises: 6 WEST 70 STREET MANHATTAN

02/05/2007 104427666

03

POST APPROVAL AMENDMENT FOR 02

A2

12



CLICK HERE TO SIGN UP FOR BUILDINGS NEWS

# NYC Department of Buildings Job Overview

Page: 1 of 1

BIN: <u>1028510</u> Block: 1122 Lot: 37

To start overview at new date, select Month: Year: Day: Show All BIS Job Types Show All Filings APPLY **JOB STATUS STATUS** LIC# **APPLICANT FILING FILE DATE** JOB# DOC# JOB FLOOR AUDIT REP TYPE DATE 08/03/2001 102960547 А3 1 R PERMIT-ENTIRE 08/10/2001 Blinn Hopkin 01 Erect 100' of 12' high Heavy Duty Sidewalk Shed 300 psf 08/22/2001 102988233 01 **A3** 14 R PERMIT-ENTIRE 08/27/2001 Blinn Hopkin Erect scaffolding during facade restoration. 08/23/2000 102749279 CEL R PERMIT-ENTIRE 09/12/2000 Aconsky Santia Voluntary Interior Fire Alarm And Smoke Detection For Area, 09/16/2003 103564741 BAS J P/E DISAPPROVED 09/19/2003 **ACONSKY** Chico installation of fog water fire protection system as per plans ( MEA 68-02 03/09/2005 **GALLICHI** KLEIN/ 03/08/2005 104053088 01 **A3** 001 R PERMIT-ENTIRE INSTALLATION OF SCAFFOLDING 35 LONG X 60 HIGH DURING FACADE REPAIR. NO CH CIARDULL .IIMENE R PERMIT-ENTIRE 08/24/2005 08/23/2005 104203265 01 A1 BAS PROPOSED MINOR INTERIOR DEMOLITON/PARTIAL INTERIOR WALL AND CEILING TILE **JACKIE** 11/10/2005 NB J P/E DISAPPROVED WHITE 10/07/2005 104250481 01 SUC 001 02/16/2007 CIARDULL JIMENE A2 R PERMIT-ENTIRE 01 05/09/2006 104427666 PROPOSED INSTALLATION OF ONE TEMPORARYCLASSROOM UNIT (TRAILER) IN AD CIARDULL CARRIO A2 12 R PERMIT-ENTIRE 12/26/2006 06/30/2006 104427666 02 SUBSEQUENT FILING OF FENCE WORK TYPE &PLUMBING WORKT YPE TO INDICATE P

If you have any questions please review these <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, the <u>Glossary</u>, or call the 311 Citizen Service Center by dialing 311 or (212) NEW YORK outside of New York City.

02/14/2007

P APPROVED

CIARDULL CARRIO



**CLASS'A'APARTMENT** 

006 -

006 -

**EXISTING** 

**PROPOSED** 



CLICK HERE TO SIGN UP FOR BUILDINGS NEWS

# NYC Department of Buildings

#### Schedule 'A' Premises: 6 WEST 70 STREET MANHATTAN Job No: 104250481 BIN: 1028510 Block: 1122 Lot: 37 Doc No: 01 Job Type: NB - NEW BUILDING Comments EXISTING / **FLOORS** NO. LIVE occ **HABIT** UNITS ZONE **PROPOSED** FRM - TO **PERS** LOAD **ROOMS GRP** USE **EXISTING** SC2 -**PROPOSED** SC2 -OG D-2 4 2 **MECHANCIAL** SUB -**EXISTING PROPOSED** SUB -100 D-2 4 2 **MECHANICAL** CEL -**EXISTING PROPOSED** CEL -100 D-2 4 2 **MECHANICAL EXISTING** 001 -**PROPOSED** 001 -100 F-1B G J-2 LOBBY IN CONJUNCTION WITH EISTING SYNAGONGUE ON ADJOINING LOT. SYNAGOGUE/ LIBRARY/ RESIDENTIAL LOBBY. **EXISTING** 002 -**PROPOSED** 002 -60 50 Ε 4 B-2 OFFICE / STORAGE **EXISTING** 003 -**PROPOSED** 003 -60 40 G 4 **CLASSROOMS EXISTING** 004 -**PROPOSED** 004 -60 40 Ε **OFFICES EXISTING** 005 -**PROPOSED** 005 -40 6 J-2 2 2

Go To www.protectwest70.org

2

1

J-2

7

40

**Document Overview** Page 1 of 1





CLICK HERE TO SIGN UP FOR BUILDINGS NEWS

### NYC Department of Buildings **Document Overview**

Page: 1 of 1

Job No: 104250481

BIN: 1028510 Block: 1122 Lot: 37

Num. of Documents: 1

Job Type: NB - NEW BUILDING

DOC NO 01

Status: J - PLAN EXAM - DISAPPROVED

Status Date: 11/10/2005

Premises: 6 WEST 70 STREET MANHATTAN

Directive 14: N Applicant Name: WHITE SAMULE

Pre-Filing Date: 10/03/2005

**TYPE** 

STATUS DATE STATUS

NB - NEW BUILDING

11/10/2005

J: PLAN EXAM - DISAPPROVED

EQ - CONSTRUCTION

EQUIPMENT

11/10/2005 J: PLAN EXAM - DISAPPROVED

WORK TYPE(S) / STATUS / JOB DESCRIPTION

OT - GC

11/10/2005

J: PLAN EXAM - DISAPPROVED

If you have any questions please review these Frequently Asked Questions, the Glossary, or call the 311 Citizen Service Center by dialing 311 or (212) NEW YORK outside of New York City.

#### CLASS'A'APARTMENT

EXISTING	007 -					
PROPOSED	007 -	40	8	J-2	1	2
	CLASS'A'APARTMENT					
EXISTING	008 -	_				
PROPOSED	008 -	40	8	J-2	1	2
	CLASS'A'APARTMENT					
EXISTING	009 -					
PROPOSED	009 -	40	4	J-2	0.5	2
	LOWER HALF OF DUPLEX APAR	TMENT ROF TERM	RACE.			
EXISTING	010 -					<u> </u>
PROPOSED	010 -	40	2	J-2	0.5	2
	UPPER HALF DUPLEX APARTME	NT ROOF TERRA	CE.			
EXISTING	ROF -	_				
PROPOSED	ROF -	100		D-2		
	MECHANICAL BULKHEAD.					

C of O Comments:

If you have any questions please review these <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, the <u>Glossary</u>, or call the 311 Citizen Service Center by dialing 311 or (212) NEW YORK outside of New York City.



Premises: 6 WEST 70 STREET MANHATTAN



CLICK HERE TO SIGN UP FOR BUILDINGS NEWS

## NYC Department of Buildings Plan Examination Overview for Job #:104250481

Page: 1

Job No: 104250481

Job Type: NB - NEW BUILDING

			900 110				
BIN: 102851	10 Block: 1122	Lot: 37		Job Type: NB - NEW BUIL			
DOC NUM	EXAM TYPE	EXAM DATE	EXAMINED BY	RESULT			
01	EQ FIRST	11/10/2005	SSA SHAUQAT SHAIKHMAN	DISAPPROVED			
01	NB FIRST	11/10/2005	SSA SHAUQAT SHAIKHMAN	DISAPPROVED			
01	OT FIRST	11/10/2005	SSA SHAUQAT SHAIKHMAN	DISAPPROVED			

If you have any questions please review these Frequently Asked Questions, the Glossary, or call the 311 Citizen Service Center by dialing 311 or (212) NEW YORK outside of New York City.