

State of New York

Court of Appeals

*Decided and Entered on the
twenty-first day of February, 2012*

Present, HON. JONATHAN LIPPMAN, *Chief Judge, presiding.*

Mo. No. 2011-1359

Nizam Peter Kettaneh, et al.,
Appellants,

v.

Board of Standards and Appeals of
the City of New York, et al.,
Respondents.

Landmark West!, Inc., et al.,
Appellants,

v.

Board of Standards and Appeals of
the City of New York, et al.,
Respondents.

Appellants having moved for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals in the above causes;

Upon the papers filed and due deliberation, it is

ORDERED, that the motion by Nizam Peter Kettaneh, et al., insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the Appellate Division order denying reargument or, in the alternative, leave to appeal to this Court, is dismissed upon the ground that such order does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution; and it is further

ORDERED, that the motion by Nizam Peter Kettaneh, et al. for leave to appeal is otherwise denied; and it is further

ORDERED, that the motion by Landmark West!, Inc., et al. for leave to appeal is denied.



Andrew W. Klein
Clerk of the Court



*State of New York
Court of Appeals*

*Andrew W. Klein
Clerk of the Court*

*Clerk's Office
Albany, New York 12207-1095*

Decided February 21, 2012

Mo. No. 2011-1359

Nizam Peter Kettaneh, et al.,
Appellants,

v.

Board of Standards and Appeals of the City of
New York, et al.,
Respondents.

Landmark West!, Inc., et al.,
Appellants,

v.

Board of Standards and Appeals of the City of
New York, et al.,
Respondents.

Motion by Nizam Peter Kettaneh, et al., insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the Appellate Division order denying reargument or, in the alternative, leave to appeal to this Court, dismissed upon the ground that such order does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution; motion for leave to appeal otherwise denied.

Motion by Landmark West!, Inc., et al. for leave to appeal denied.